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In each case, more specific QMS led to "better" models of type theory, with coherent Id, $\Sigma, \Pi$ and eventually univalent $U$.
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A model of HoTT is then constructed internally using the extensional type theory of $\mathcal{E}$ (see Orton-Pitts).
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The resulting QMS is right proper and has descent, so it also admits a model of HoTT in the pre-Orton-Pitts sense.
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1. Cartesian cubical sets (new)
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3. Dedekind cubical sets (Sattler)
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To prove the Fibration Extension Property:
4. show that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfies the EEP,
5. use $V$ and $\mathbb{I}$ to construct a universe $U$ of fibrations,
6. use EEP to show that $U$ is fibrant, which implies FEP.

NB: (5) seems to be a detour; maybe one can prove FEP directly?

## 1. The cofibration awfs ( $\mathcal{C}$, TFib)

The monos classified by $\Phi \longmapsto \Omega$ are called cofibrations. The generic one $1 \hookrightarrow \Phi$ determines a polynomial endofunctor,

$$
X^{+}:=\sum_{\varphi: \Phi} X^{\varphi}
$$

which is a (fibered) monad,
$+:$ cSet $/ \cdot \longrightarrow$ cSet/.

## 1. The cofibration awfs ( $\mathcal{C}$, TFib)

The monos classified by $\Phi \hookrightarrow \Omega$ are called cofibrations. The generic one $1 \hookrightarrow \Phi$ determines a polynomial endofunctor,

$$
X^{+}:=\sum_{\varphi: \Phi} X^{\varphi}
$$

which is a (fibered) monad,

$$
+: \mathrm{cSet} / \cdot \longrightarrow \mathrm{cSet} / \cdot
$$

Algebras for the pointed endofunctor of this monad,

form the right class of an awfs - they are the trivial fibrations.

## 2. The fibration awfs (TCof, $\mathcal{F})$

For any $c: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{cSet}^{2}$, the Leibniz adjunction

$$
(-) \otimes c \dashv c \Rightarrow(-)
$$

relates the pushout-product with $c$ and the pullback-hom with $c$.

## 2. The fibration awfs (TCof, $\mathcal{F})$

For any $c: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{cSet}^{2}$, the Leibniz adjunction

$$
(-) \otimes c \dashv c \Rightarrow(-)
$$

relates the pushout-product with $c$ and the pullback-hom with $c$. These operations satisfy

$$
(f \otimes c) \boxtimes g \Leftrightarrow f \boxtimes(c \Rightarrow g)
$$

with respect to the diagonal filling relation $f \boxtimes g$.

## 2. The fibration awfs (TCof, $\mathcal{F})$

For any $c: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{cSet}^{2}$, the Leibniz adjunction

$$
(-) \otimes c \dashv c \Rightarrow(-)
$$

relates the pushout-product with $c$ and the pullback-hom with $c$. These operations satisfy

$$
(f \otimes c) \nabla g \Leftrightarrow f \boxtimes(c \Rightarrow g)
$$

with respect to the diagonal filling relation $f \square g$.
Definition
A map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a biased fibration if $\delta_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow f$ is a + -algebra for both endpoints $\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}: 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$.

## 2. The fibration awfs (TCof, $\mathcal{F})$

For any $c: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{cSet}^{2}$, the Leibniz adjunction

$$
(-) \otimes c \dashv c \Rightarrow(-)
$$

relates the pushout-product with $c$ and the pullback-hom with $c$. These operations satisfy

$$
(f \otimes c) \boxtimes g \Leftrightarrow f \boxtimes(c \Rightarrow g)
$$

with respect to the diagonal filling relation $f \square g$.
Definition
A map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a biased fibration if $\delta_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow f$ is a + -algebra for both endpoints $\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}: 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$. Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if for all cofibrations $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon=0,1$,

$$
c \otimes \delta_{\varepsilon} \boxtimes f .
$$

## 2. The fibration awfs (TCof, $\mathcal{F})$

For any $c: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{cSet}^{2}$, the Leibniz adjunction

$$
(-) \otimes c \dashv c \Rightarrow(-)
$$

relates the pushout-product with $c$ and the pullback-hom with $c$. These operations satisfy

$$
(f \otimes c) \nabla g \Leftrightarrow f \boxtimes(c \Rightarrow g)
$$

with respect to the diagonal filling relation $f \square g$.
Definition
A map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a biased fibration if $\delta_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow f$ is a + -algebra for both endpoints $\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}: 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$. Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if for all cofibrations $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon=0,1$,

$$
c \otimes \delta_{\varepsilon} \boxtimes f .
$$

This notion of fibration is used for the Dedekind cubes.
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Definition
A map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is an (unbiased) fibration if $\delta \Rightarrow f$ is a + -algebra. Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if $c \otimes \delta \square f$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

## Proposition

There is an awfs (TCof, $\mathcal{F}$ ) with these fibrations as $\mathcal{F}$.

## Remark

There is also an equivariant version of this awfs, in which the fibration structure respects the symmetries of the cubes $\mathbb{I}^{n}$ (this is explained in Emily's talk).
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We will compare $\mathcal{W}$ with the following, which does satisfy 3 -for- 2 .
Definition
A map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence if the map

$$
K^{f}: K^{X} \longrightarrow K^{Y}
$$

is a bijection on connected components for all fibrant objects $K$.
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The construction of Fib uses the root functor $(-)^{\mathbb{I}} \dashv(-)_{\mathbb{I}}$.
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The canonical map $U^{\mathbb{I}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{U}$ factors (over $\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{U}$ ) through the object Eq of equivalences via $i:=I d t o E q$,


But the projection $\mathrm{Eq} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a trivial fibration by EEP, so there is a diagonal filler $j$. Composing gives the required $k$.

Done!
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This is related to the existence of $\Pi$-types. It implies that our QMS is right proper.
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That's all Folks!

