Set-theoretic remarks on a possible definition of elementary ∞-topos

Giulio Lo Monaco

Masaryk University

HoTT, 2019
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

16 August 2019

Geometric ∞-toposes

Definition

An ∞-category $\mathcal{X}$ is called a geometric ∞-topos if there is a small ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ and an adjunction

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, shift left=2, "L"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\perp"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[l, hook, shift left=2, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where i is full and faithful, $L \circ i$ is accessible and L preserves all finite limits.

Geometric ∞-toposes

Definition

An ∞-category $\mathcal{X}$ is called a geometric ∞-topos if there is a small ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ and an adjunction

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, shift left=1.5ex, "L"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\perp"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[l, hook, shift left=1.5ex, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where i is full and faithful, $L \circ i$ is accessible and L preserves all finite limits.

In particular, every geometric ∞-topos is presentable.

Ingredients: Dependent sums and products

Let $f : X \to Y$ a morphism in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ with pullbacks.

Ingredients: Dependent sums and products

Let $f : X \to Y$ a morphism in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ with pullbacks.

- A dependent sum along f is a left adjoint of the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.

Ingredients: Dependent sums and products

Let $f : X \to Y$ a morphism in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ with pullbacks.

- A dependent sum along f is a left adjoint of the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.
- A dependent product along f, if it exists, is a right adjoint to the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.

Ingredients: Dependent sums and products

Let $f : X \to Y$ a morphism in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ with pullbacks.

- A dependent sum along f is a left adjoint of the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.
- A dependent product along f, if it exists, is a right adjoint to the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E}_{/X} \arrow[r, bend left, "\sum_f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "\prod_f"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=3, "\perp"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=3, "\perp"] & \mathcal{E}_{/Y} : f^* \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Ingredients: Dependent sums and products

Let $f : X \to Y$ a morphism in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ with pullbacks.

- A dependent sum along f is a left adjoint of the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.
- A dependent product along f, if it exists, is a right adjoint to the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{E}_{/X} \arrow[r, bend left, "\sum_f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "\prod_f"'] & \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \arrow[l, "f^*"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

Remark

Dependent sums always exist by universal property of pullbacks.

Ingredients: Dependent sums and products

Let $f : X \to Y$ a morphism in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ with pullbacks.

- A dependent sum along f is a left adjoint of the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.
- A dependent product along f, if it exists, is a right adjoint to the base change $f^* : \mathcal{E}_{/Y} \to \mathcal{E}_{/X}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E}_{/X} \arrow[r, bend left, "\sum_f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "\prod_f"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=2, "\perp"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=2, "\perp"] & \mathcal{E}_{/Y} : f^* \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark

Dependent sums always exist by universal property of pullbacks.

Proposition

In a geometric ∞-topos all dependent products exist.

Ingredients: Classifiers

Let S be a class of morphisms in an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$, which is closed under pullbacks.

A classifier for the class S is a morphism $t : \bar{U} \to U$ such that for every object X the operation of pulling back defines an equivalence of ∞-groupoids

$$\mathrm{Map}(X, U) \simeq (\mathcal{E}^S_{/X})^{\sim}$$

Elementary ∞-toposes

Definition (Shulman)

An elementary ∞-topos is an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ such that

Elementary ∞-toposes

Definition (Shulman)

An elementary ∞-topos is an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ such that

1. *$\mathcal{E}$ has all finite limits and colimits.*
2. *$\mathcal{E}$ is locally Cartesian closed.*
3. *The class of all monomorphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ admits a classifier.*
4.

Elementary ∞-toposes

Definition (Shulman)

An elementary ∞-topos is an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ such that

1. *$\mathcal{E}$ has all finite limits and colimits.*
2. *$\mathcal{E}$ is locally Cartesian closed.*
3. *The class of all monomorphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ admits a classifier.*
4. *For each morphism f in $\mathcal{E}$ there is a class of morphisms $S \ni f$ such that S has a classifier and is closed under finite limits and colimits taken in overcategories and under dependent sums and products.*

Definition (Shulman)

An elementary ∞-topos is an ∞-category $\mathcal{E}$ such that

1. *$\mathcal{E}$ has all finite limits and colimits.*
2. *$\mathcal{E}$ is locally Cartesian closed.*
3. *The class of all monomorphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ admits a classifier.*
4. *For each morphism f in $\mathcal{E}$ there is a class of morphisms $S \ni f$ such that S has a classifier and is closed under finite limits and colimits taken in overcategories and under dependent sums and products.*

We will only focus on a subaxiom of (4):

Definition

We say that a class of morphisms S satisfies (DepProd) if it has a classifier and it is closed under dependent products

Tools: Uniformization

Theorem (Adámek, Rosický for the 1-dimensional case)

Given a small family $(f_i : \mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{L}_i)_{i \in I}$ of accessible functors between presentable ∞-categories, there are arbitrarily large cardinals κ such that all functors f_i's preserve κ-compact objects.

Tools: Uniformization

Theorem (Adámek, Rosický for the 1-dimensional case)

Given a small family $(f_i : \mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{L}_i)_{i \in I}$ of accessible functors between presentable ∞-categories, there are arbitrarily large cardinals κ such that all functors f_i's preserve κ-compact objects.

Example

- *We may assume that κ-compact objects in a presheaf ∞-category are precisely the objectwise κ-compact presheaves.*

Tools: Uniformization

Theorem (Adámek, Rosický for the 1-dimensional case)

Given a small family $(f_i : \mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{L}_i)_{i \in I}$ of accessible functors between presentable ∞-categories, there are arbitrarily large cardinals κ such that all functors f_i's preserve κ-compact objects.

Example

- *We may assume that κ-compact objects in a presheaf ∞-category are precisely the objectwise κ-compact presheaves.*
- *Given a diagram shape R, we may assume that κ-compact objects are stable under R-limits.*

Tools: Uniformization

Theorem (Adámek, Rosický for the 1-dimensional case)

Given a small family $(f_i : \mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{L}_i)_{i \in I}$ of accessible functors between presentable ∞-categories, there are arbitrarily large cardinals κ such that all functors f_i's preserve κ-compact objects.

Example

- *We may assume that κ-compact objects in a presheaf ∞-category are precisely the objectwise κ-compact presheaves.*
- *Given a diagram shape R, we may assume that κ-compact objects are stable under R-limits.*
- *We may assume that many such properties hold for the same cardinal.*

Tools: Relative κ-compactness

Definition

A morphism $f : X \to Y$ in an ∞-category is said to be relatively κ-compact if for every κ-compact object Z and every diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Z \arrow[r] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

the object W is also κ-compact.

Tools: Relative κ-compactness

Definition

A morphism $f : X \to Y$ in an ∞-category is said to be relatively κ-compact if for every κ-compact object Z and every diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Z \arrow[r] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

the object W is also κ-compact.

Theorem (Rezk)

In a geometric ∞-topos, there are arbitrarily large cardinals κ such that the class S_κ of relatively κ-compact morphisms has a classifier.

Main result

Theorem

Fixing a Grothendieck universe $\mathcal{U}$, every geometric ∞-topos satisfies (DepProd) if and only if there are unboundedly many inaccessible cardinals below the cardinality of $\mathcal{U}$.

Main result

Theorem

Fixing a Grothendieck universe $\mathcal{U}$, every geometric ∞-topos satisfies (DepProd) if and only if there are unboundedly many inaccessible cardinals below the cardinality of $\mathcal{U}$.

First, prove $\Leftarrow$.
We want to use Rezk's theorem to find universes in the form S_κ.
We will need uniformization and the hypothesis to find suitable κ's.

Main result

Theorem

Fixing a Grothendieck universe $\mathcal{U}$, every geometric ∞-topos satisfies (DepProd) if and only if there are unboundedly many inaccessible cardinals below the cardinality of $\mathcal{U}$.

First, prove $\Leftarrow$.
We want to use Rezk's theorem to find universes in the form S_κ.
We will need uniformization and the hypothesis to find suitable κ's.

Step 1. In the ∞-category $\mathcal{S}$ of spaces, if κ is inaccessible then κ-compact objects are stable under exponentiation.

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

By uniformization, we may choose a cardinal κ such that:

- κ-compactness is detected objectwise

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

By uniformization, we may choose a cardinal κ such that:

- κ-compactness is detected objectwise
- all representables are κ-compact

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

By uniformization, we may choose a cardinal κ such that:

- κ-compactness is detected objectwise
- all representables are κ-compact
- κ-compact spaces are stable under binary products

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

By uniformization, we may choose a cardinal κ such that:

- κ-compactness is detected objectwise
- all representables are κ-compact
- κ-compact spaces are stable under binary products
- κ-compact spaces are stable under exponentiation (Step 1)

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

By uniformization, we may choose a cardinal κ such that:

- κ-compactness is detected objectwise
- all representables are κ-compact
- κ-compact spaces are stable under binary products
- κ-compact spaces are stable under exponentiation (Step 1)
- κ-compact spaces are stable under $\mathcal{C}$-ends

Main result

Step 2. In $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, given presheaves F and G, their exponential F^G is given by the formula

$$F^G(C) = \int_{D \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{Map}(D, C) \times G(D), F(D))$$

By uniformization, we may choose a cardinal κ such that:

- κ-compactness is detected objectwise
- all representables are κ-compact
- κ-compact spaces are stable under binary products
- κ-compact spaces are stable under exponentiation (Step 1)
- κ-compact spaces are stable under $\mathcal{C}$-ends

$\Rightarrow$ κ-compact presheaves are stable under exponentiation.

Main result

Step 3. Given an adjunction

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, shift left, "L"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\perp"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[l, hook, shift left, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

making $\mathcal{X}$ a geometric ∞-topos, choose κ such that (Step 2) holds in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$.

Main result

Step 3. Given an adjunction

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, shift left=1.5ex, "L"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\perp"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[l, hook', shift left=1.5ex, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

making $\mathcal{X}$ a geometric ∞-topos, choose κ such that (Step 2) holds in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$.

The properties of $L \dashv i$ will transfer stability of κ-compact objects under exponentiation to $\mathcal{X}$.

Step 4. Given an object $p : Z \to X$ in $\mathcal{X}_{/X}$, its dependent product along a terminal morphism $X \to *$ is given by

$$\prod_X p = Z^X \times_{X^X} \{p\}$$

Main result

Step 4. Given an object $p : Z \to X$ in $\mathcal{X}_{/X}$, its dependent product along a terminal morphism $X \to *$ is given by

$$\prod_X p = Z^X \times_{X^X} \{p\}$$

Choose κ such that (Step 3) holds and κ-compact objects are stable under pullbacks

Step 4. Given an object $p : Z \to X$ in $\mathcal{X}_{/X}$, its dependent product along a terminal morphism $X \to *$ is given by

$$\prod_X p = Z^X \times_{X^X} \{p\}$$

Choose κ such that (Step 3) holds and κ-compact objects are stable under pullbacks $\Rightarrow$ relatively κ-compact morphisms are stable under dependent products along terminal morphisms.

Main result

Step 4. Given an object $p : Z \to X$ in $\mathcal{X}_{/X}$, its dependent product along a terminal morphism $X \to *$ is given by

$$\prod_X p = Z^X \times_{X^X} \{p\}$$

Choose κ such that (Step 3) holds and κ-compact objects are stable under pullbacks $\Rightarrow$ relatively κ-compact morphisms are stable under dependent products along terminal morphisms.

Step 5. For generic dependent products, decompose the codomain as a colimit of compact objects Y_i's and then choose κ such that (Step 4) holds in all ∞-toposes $\mathcal{X}_{/Y_i}$.

Main result

Now prove $\Rightarrow$.

Main result

Now prove $\Rightarrow$. It suffices to prove it assuming that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies (*DepProd*).

Main result

Now prove $\Rightarrow$. It suffices to prove it assuming that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies (*DepProd*).

For a discrete space X, the terminal morphism $X \to *$ is contained in a class S having a classifier $t : \bar{U} \to U$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ Z \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}, \qquad \begin{tikzcd} Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ W \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}$$

Now prove $\Rightarrow$. It suffices to prove it assuming that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies (*DepProd*).

For a discrete space X, the terminal morphism $X \to *$ is contained in a class S having a classifier $t : \bar{U} \to U$ such that

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
Z \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}
,\quad
\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
W \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}
\quad \Longrightarrow \quad \exists
\begin{tikzcd}
\prod_f p \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
W \arrow[r] & U.
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Main result

Now prove $\Rightarrow$. It suffices to prove it assuming that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies $(DepProd)$.

For a discrete space X, the terminal morphism $X \to *$ is contained in a class S having a classifier $t : \bar{U} \to U$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ Z \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} , \quad \begin{tikzcd} Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ W \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \implies \exists \begin{tikzcd} \prod_f p \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ W \arrow[r] & U. \end{tikzcd}$$

- Assume that all fibers of t are discrete.

Now prove $\Rightarrow$. It suffices to prove it assuming that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies $(DepProd)$.

For a discrete space X, the terminal morphism $X \to *$ is contained in a class S having a classifier $t : \bar{U} \to U$ such that

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
Z \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}
,\quad
\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
W \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}
\quad \Longrightarrow \quad \exists
\begin{tikzcd}
\prod_f p \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
W \arrow[r] & U.
\end{tikzcd}
$$

- Assume that all fibers of t are discrete.
- For each point in U, its fiber along t can be regarded as a set.

Main result

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x_0\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}$$

Main result

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
F_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
\{x\} \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\
\{x_0\} \arrow[r] & U
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Define $\kappa := \sup_{x \in U} |F_x|$.

Main result

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x_0\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}$$

Define $\kappa := \sup_{x \in U} |F_x|$.

- $\kappa > |X|$.

Main result

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x_0\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}$$

Define $\kappa := \sup_{x \in U} |F_x|$.

- $\kappa > |X|$.
- For $\lambda, \mu < \kappa$, closure under dependent products $\Rightarrow \mu^\lambda < \kappa$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x_0\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}$$

Define $\kappa := \sup_{x \in U} |F_x|$.

- $\kappa > |X|$.
- For $\lambda, \mu < \kappa$, closure under dependent products $\Rightarrow \mu^\lambda < \kappa$.
- In non-trivial cases, $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \leq \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i$

Main result

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lrcorner", very near start] & \bar{U} \arrow[d, "t"] \\ \{x_0\} \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd}$$

Define $\kappa := \sup_{x \in U} |F_x|$.

- $\kappa > |X|$.
- For $\lambda, \mu < \kappa$, closure under dependent products $\Rightarrow \mu^\lambda < \kappa$.
- In non-trivial cases, $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \leq \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i \Rightarrow \kappa$ is regular.

Geometric $\subsetneq$ *elementary*

Definition

We call a cardinal μ 1-inaccessible if it is inaccessible and there are unboundedly many inaccessibles below it.

Definition

We call a cardinal μ 1-inaccessible if it is inaccessible and there are unboundedly many inaccessibles below it.

Assume the existence of a 1-inaccessible cardinal μ inside the Grothendieck universe.

Definition

We call a cardinal μ 1-inaccessible if it is inaccessible and there are unboundedly many inaccessibles below it.

Assume the existence of a 1-inaccessible cardinal μ inside the Grothendieck universe.

Given a geometric ∞-topos $\mathcal{X}$, take

$$\mathcal{X}^\mu \subset \mathcal{X}.$$

Definition

We call a cardinal μ 1-inaccessible if it is inaccessible and there are unboundedly many inaccessibles below it.

Assume the existence of a 1-inaccessible cardinal μ inside the Grothendieck universe.

Given a geometric ∞-topos $\mathcal{X}$, take

$$\mathcal{X}^\mu \subset \mathcal{X}.$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{X}^\mu$ is not a geometric ∞-topos (it doesn't have all small colimits), but it is an elementary ∞-topos.

Thank you!