

Naturality for Free

The category interpretation of directed type theory

Thorsten Altenkirch, **Filippo Sestini**

Functional Programming Laboratory
School of Computer Science
University of Nottingham

Homotopy Type Theory 2019

Types are abstract

This has powerful consequences:

Parametricity

Polymorphic functions preserve all logical relations.

Univalence

Isomorphic types are equal.

How are these related?

List reversal is natural

$\text{List} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{rev} : \prod_{A:\text{Set}} \text{List } A \rightarrow \text{List } A$

$f : A \rightarrow B$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{List } A & \xrightarrow{\text{rev}_A} & \text{List } A \\ \text{List } f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{List } f \\ \text{List } B & \xrightarrow{\text{rev}_B} & \text{List } B \end{array}$$

$$\text{List } f \circ \text{rev}_A = \text{rev}_B \circ \text{List } f$$

Proof by induction

$$\text{List } f [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] = [f a_0, f a_1, \dots, f a_{n-1}]$$

$$\text{rev}_A [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] = [a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0]$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{rev}_B \circ \text{List } f) [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] &= \text{rev}_B (\text{List } f [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]) \\ &= \text{rev}_B [f a_0, f a_1, \dots, f a_{n-1}]) \\ &= [f a_{n-1}, \dots, f a_1, f a_0]) \\ &= \text{List } f [a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0] \\ &= \text{List } f (\text{rev}_A [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]) \\ &= (\text{List } f \circ \text{rev}_A) [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Everything is natural . . .

$F, G : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$$\alpha : \prod_{A:\text{Set}} FA \rightarrow GA$$

$$f : A \rightarrow B$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & GA \\ F f \downarrow & & \downarrow G f \\ FB & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & GB \end{array}$$

...but we can't prove it.

- Naturality (parametricity) is a metatheorem, but we cannot prove it internally
- Solution: extend MLTT with constructs to internalise free theorems (Nuyts et al., Bernardy et al., ...)
- Can we link this to Univalence/HoTT?

The hint (HoTT)

$$F, G : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\alpha : \prod_{A:\text{Set}} FA \simeq GA$$

$$f : A \simeq B$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & GA \\ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow Gf \\ FB & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & GB \end{array}$$

- $A \simeq B$ means isomorphism (we work in a set-level setting);
- This is provable in HoTT, from Univalence + J.

- Set-level univalent type theory can be interpreted into the groupoid model (Hofmann & Streicher).
- The model validates a univalent universe of sets;
- Idea: replace groupoids with categories;
- This gives a semantics for a *directed* type theory with
 - ▶ an internal Hom type;
 - ▶ *directed Univalence*;

The category with families of categories

Contexts	$\text{Con} : \text{Set}$	$\Gamma : \text{Con}$	$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket : \text{Cat}$
Types	$\text{Ty} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$	$A : \text{Ty} \Gamma$	$\llbracket A \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \text{Cat}$
Terms	$\text{Tm} : (\Gamma : \text{Con}) \rightarrow \text{Ty} \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$	$a : \text{Tm} \Gamma A$	$\llbracket a \rrbracket : \text{Sect} \widehat{\llbracket A \rrbracket}$
Substitutions	$\text{Tms} : \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Con} \rightarrow \text{Set}$	$\gamma : \text{Tms} \Gamma \Delta$	$\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket$

Operations on contexts

$$\frac{}{\bullet : \text{Con}} \quad \frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma}{\Gamma . A : \text{Con}}$$

$$[\![\bullet]\!] := \mathbf{1}$$

$$|[\![\Gamma . A]\!]| := (\gamma : |[\![\Gamma]\!]|) \times |[\![A]\!]| \gamma$$

$$[\![\Gamma . A]\!](\gamma, a), (\gamma', a') := (f : [\![\Gamma]\!](\gamma, \gamma')) \times ([\![A]\!]| \gamma')([\![A]\!]| f a, a')$$

Grothendieck construction

Opposites

$$\frac{\Gamma : \text{Con}}{\Gamma^- : \text{Con}} \quad \frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma}{A^- : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

$$[\![\Gamma^-]\!] := [\![\Gamma]\!]^{op}$$

$$[\![A^-]\!] := \text{op} \circ [\![A]\!]$$

where $\text{op} : \text{Cat} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$ takes \mathcal{C} into \mathcal{C}^{op} .

Opposites

$$\frac{\Gamma : \text{Con}}{\Gamma^- : \text{Con}} \quad \frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma}{A^- : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

$$[\![\Gamma^-]\!] := [\![\Gamma]\!]^{op}$$

$$[\![A^-]\!] := \text{op} \circ [\![A]\!]$$

where $\text{op} : \text{Cat} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$ takes \mathcal{C} into \mathcal{C}^{op} .

- But what is $(\Gamma.A)^-$?
- $\Gamma^-.A^-$ doesn't typecheck.

Contravariant context extension

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma^-}{\Gamma.^- A : \text{Con}}$$

$$|\llbracket \Gamma.^- A \rrbracket| := (\gamma : |\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket|) \times |\llbracket A \rrbracket \gamma|$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma.^- A \rrbracket((\gamma, a), (\gamma', a')) := (f : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket(\gamma, \gamma')) \times (\llbracket A \rrbracket \gamma)(a, \llbracket A \rrbracket f a')$$

$$(\Gamma.A)^- = \Gamma^- .^- A^-$$

Σ -types

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty}\Gamma \quad B : \text{Ty}\Gamma.A}{\Sigma A B : \text{Ty}\Gamma}$$

On objects:

$$[\![\Sigma A B]\!] \gamma := ([\![A]\!] \gamma).([\![B]\!] \gamma)$$

Σ -types

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty} \Gamma \quad B : \text{Ty} \Gamma . A}{\Sigma A B : \text{Ty} \Gamma}$$

On objects:

$$[\![\Sigma A B]\!] \gamma := ([\![A]\!] \gamma) . ([\![B]\!] \gamma)$$

What about $(\Sigma A B)^-$?

Σ^- -types

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad B : \text{Ty } (\Gamma.A^-)}{\Sigma^- A B : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

On objects:

$$[\![\Sigma^- A B]\!] \gamma := ([\![A]\!] \gamma).^-([\![B]\!] \gamma)$$

$$(\Sigma A B)^- = \Sigma^- A^- B^-$$

Σ -types with polarities

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma \quad B : \text{Ty } (\Gamma.A^s)}{\Sigma^s A B : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

$$\frac{M : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma A \quad N : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma B[M]}{\langle M, N \rangle^s : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma (\Sigma^s A B)}$$

$$\frac{M : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma (\Sigma^s A B)}{\pi_1^s M : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma A}$$

$$\frac{M : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma (\Sigma^s A B)}{\pi_2^s M : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma (B[\pi_1^s M])}$$

where $s = \{+, -\}$ and $\text{Tm}^- \Gamma A \equiv \text{Tm} \Gamma^- A^-$.

Π -types

- Groupoid construction of Π generalises to categories...
- ... but we need to be careful with polarities.

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma^- \quad B : \text{Ty}(\Gamma. \neg A)}{\Pi A B : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

Π -types with polarities

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma^- \quad B : \text{Ty } (\Gamma. \neg A^s)}{\Pi^s AB : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

$$\frac{t : \text{Tm}^s (\Gamma. \neg^s A) B}{\lambda^s t : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma (\Pi^s AB)} \quad \frac{t : \text{Tm}^s \Gamma (\Pi^s AB)}{\text{app}^s t : \text{Tm}^s (\Gamma. \neg^s A) B}$$

$$(\Pi^s AB)^- = \Pi^{-s} A^- B^-$$

Universe of sets

$$\frac{}{U : \text{Ty } \Gamma} \quad \frac{A : \text{Tm } \Gamma \ U^s}{\text{El } A : \text{Ty } \Gamma^s}$$

closed under Π, Σ, \dots

$$|\llbracket U \rrbracket \gamma| := \text{Set}$$
$$(\llbracket U \rrbracket \gamma)(A, B) := A \rightarrow B$$

$$|\llbracket \text{El } a \rrbracket \gamma| := \llbracket a \rrbracket \gamma$$
$$(\llbracket \text{El } a \rrbracket \gamma)(y, z) := (y = z)$$

$$(\text{El } A)^- = \text{El } A$$

The Hom type

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma A^- \quad b : \text{Tm } \Gamma A}{\text{Hom}_A a b : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

we also write $a \sqsubseteq_A b$ for $\text{Hom}_A a b$.

On objects:

$$[\![\text{Hom}_A a b]\!] \gamma := A \gamma(a \gamma, b \gamma)$$

The Hom type

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma A^- \quad b : \text{Tm } \Gamma A}{\text{Hom}_A a b : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

we also write $a \sqsubseteq_A b$ for $\text{Hom}_A a b$.

On objects:

$$[\![\text{Hom}_A a b]\!] \gamma := A \gamma(a \gamma, b \gamma)$$

- But what about id (aka refl)?
- We would like to say

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma A}{\text{id}_a : \text{Hom}_A a a}$$

The Hom type

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma A^- \quad b : \text{Tm } \Gamma A}{\text{Hom}_A a b : \text{Ty } \Gamma}$$

we also write $a \sqsubseteq_A b$ for $\text{Hom}_A a b$.

On objects:

$$[\![\text{Hom}_A a b]\!] \gamma := A \gamma(a \gamma, b \gamma)$$

- But what about id (aka refl)?
- We would like to say

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma A}{\text{id}_a : \text{Hom}_A a a}$$

but this doesn't type check!

Core types

$$\frac{A : \text{Ty } \Gamma}{\bar{A} : \text{Ty } \Gamma} \quad \frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \bar{A}}{a^s : \text{Tm } \Gamma A^s}$$

$$[\![\bar{A}]\!] := \text{core} \circ [\![A]\!]$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} a, b : \text{Tm } \Gamma \bar{A} \\ f : \text{Tm } \Gamma (a^- \sqsubseteq_A b^+) \quad g : \text{Tm } \Gamma (b^- \sqsubseteq_A a^+) \\ I : \text{Tm } \Gamma (f \circ g \sqsubseteq \text{id}_b) \quad r : \text{Tm } \Gamma (g \circ f \sqsubseteq \text{id}_a) \end{array}}{\overline{f, g, I, r} : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ Hom}_{\bar{A}} a b}$$

Some issues with cores

Some issues with cores

- Introduction rule? $A \not\rightarrow \bar{A}$

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \bar{\Gamma} A}{\bar{a} : \text{Tm } \bar{\Gamma} \bar{A}}$$

Some issues with cores

- Introduction rule? $A \not\rightarrow \bar{A}$

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \bar{\Gamma} A}{\bar{a} : \text{Tm } \bar{\Gamma} \bar{A}}$$

- Rules for core contexts? $\bar{\Gamma}.\bar{A} \simeq \bar{\Gamma}.\bar{A}[\dots]$

Some issues with cores

- Introduction rule? $A \not\rightarrow \bar{A}$

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \bar{\Gamma} A}{\bar{a} : \text{Tm } \bar{\Gamma} \bar{A}}$$

- Rules for core contexts? $\bar{\Gamma}.\bar{A} \simeq \bar{\Gamma}.\bar{A}[\dots]$
- $\bar{\bar{A}} \cong \bar{A}$

Identity morphisms

$$\frac{a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \overline{A}}{\text{id}_a : \text{Hom}_A a^- a^+}$$

Morphism induction (J^s)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \overline{A} \\ b : \text{Tm } \Gamma A \\ M : \text{Ty } \Gamma, x : A, z : \text{Hom}_{A^s} a^{-s} x \\ m : \text{Tm } \Gamma M[x := a^+, z := \text{id}_a] \\ p : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{Hom}_{A^s} a^{-s} b \end{array}}{J^s M m p : M[x := b, z := p]}$$

Morphism induction II (\bar{J})

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} a : \text{Tm } \Gamma \bar{A} \\ b : \text{Tm } \Gamma \bar{A} \\ M : \text{Ty } (\Gamma, x : \bar{A}, z : \text{Hom}_A a^- x^+) \\ m : \text{Tm } \Gamma M[x := a, z := \text{id}_a] \\ p : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{Hom}_A a^- b^+) \end{array}}{\bar{J} M m p : M[x := b, z := p]}$$

Directed Univalence

$$\frac{A : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}^- \quad B : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}}{\text{Hom}_{\text{U}} A B = \text{El}(A \rightarrow B)}$$

Directed Univalence

$$\frac{A : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}^- \quad B : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{ U}^+}{\text{Hom}_{\text{U}} A B = \text{El}(A \rightarrow B)}$$

“Undirected” Univalence follows from the directed one.

$$\text{Hom}_{\overline{\text{U}}} A B = f : A^- \rightarrow B^+, g : B^- \rightarrow A^+, +\text{proofs}$$

Every type family is functorial

$$X : \overline{\mathbf{U}} \vdash F : \overline{\mathbf{U}}$$

For any

$$A, B : \overline{\mathbf{U}}, f : A^- \rightarrow B^+$$

We can construct

$$\text{ap } F f : F[A]^- \rightarrow F[B]^+$$

from directed UA + \overline{J}

Every polymorphic function is natural

$$X : \bar{\mathbf{U}} \vdash F, G : \bar{\mathbf{U}}$$

$$\alpha : \prod_{A:\bar{\mathbf{U}}} F[A]^- \rightarrow G[A]^+$$

For any

$$A, B : \bar{\mathbf{U}} \quad f : A^- \rightarrow B^+$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F[A] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & G[A] \\ \text{ap } F f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ap } G f \\ F[B] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & G[B] \end{array}$$

$$\text{nat } f : \text{Hom}_{F[A]^- \rightarrow G[B]^+}(\text{ap } G g \circ \alpha_A)(\alpha_B \circ \text{ap } F f)$$

from directed UA + \bar{J}

Every polymorphic function is natural

$$X : \bar{\mathbf{U}} \vdash F, G : \bar{\mathbf{U}}$$

$$\alpha : \prod_{A:\bar{\mathbf{U}}} F[A]^- \rightarrow G[A]^+$$

For any

$$A, B : \bar{\mathbf{U}} \quad f : A^- \rightarrow B^+$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F[A] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & G[A] \\ \text{ap } F f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ap } G f \\ F[B] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & G[B] \end{array}$$

$$\text{nat } f : \text{Hom}_{F[A]^- \rightarrow G[B]^+}(\text{ap } G g \circ \alpha_A)(\alpha_B \circ \text{ap } F f)$$

from directed UA + \bar{J} (assuming symmetric/core context)

Related work

- R. Harper & D. Licata, 2-dimensional Directed Type Theory;
- P. North, Towards a directed Homotopy Type Theory;
- A. Nuyts, MSc thesis
- E. Riehl & M. Shulman, A type theory for synthetic ∞ -categories (see also Jonathan Weinberger's talk);

Future work

- Formalisation of the calculus and its semantics in Agda (ongoing);
- Researching appropriate way to represent symmetric/groupoidal/core contexts; split-context modal type theory seems relevant (see also Dan Licata's talk);
- What is the relation to logical relations?
- Can we do higher categories (full directed HoTT)?