

# Duality for Clans and the Fat Small Object Argument\*

Jonas Frey

Carnegie Mellon University  
jonasf@andrew.cmu.edu

Cartmell’s generalized algebraic theories [Car86] — which extend algebra by type dependency — and Freyd’s essentially algebraic theories [Fre72] — which permit a controlled form of partiality — are commonly recognized as being equally expressive, and are both subsumed by the less syntactic and more abstract *cartesian theories*, which are simply small finite-limit categories.

More specifically, for each generalized/essentially algebraic theory  $\mathcal{T}$  there exists a small finite-limit category  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathbf{Lex}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{Set}),$$

i.e. the category of models of  $\mathcal{T}$  is equivalent to the category of finite-limit-preserving (‘lex’) functors from  $\mathbb{C}$  to the category of sets.

Classical Gabriel-Ulmer duality [GU71] states that the categories of models of such theories are precisely the *locally finitely presentable categories*, giving rise to a contravariant biequivalence

$$\mathbf{Lex} \simeq \mathbf{LFP}^{\mathrm{op}} \tag{1}$$

between the 2-categories of finite-limit categories and locally finitely presentable categories.

We present a *refinement* of this duality based on the notion of *clan*, which was introduced by Taylor under the name ‘category with display maps’ [Tay87, 4.3.2], and later renamed by Joyal [Joy17, 1.1.1].

**Definition 1.** *A clan is a small category  $\mathcal{T}$  with terminal object 1 equipped with a class  $\mathcal{D}$  of display maps such that*

1. *pullbacks of display maps along arbitrary maps exist and are again display maps, and*
2. *display maps contain isomorphisms and terminal projections and are closed under composition.*

*A model of a clan  $\mathcal{T}$  is a functor  $A : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  which preserves 1 and pullbacks of display maps. We denote the full subcategory of  $[\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{Set}]$  on models by  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{T})$ .*

Since corepresentable functors  $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma, -)$  preserve all limits, the Yoneda embedding lifts to a fully faithful functor  $Z : \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{T})$ . Clans refine cartesian theories in that the ‘same’ finite-limit theory can be represented by different clans, thus we cannot expect to reconstruct a clan from its category of models alone. To recover a duality we equip  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{T})$  with additional information in form of a *weak factorization system*  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  which is cofibrantly generated by the set

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \{Z(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

---

\*This material is based upon work supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under award numbers FA9550-21-1-0009 and FA9550-20-1-0305, and by the U. S. Army Research Office under award number W911NF-21-1-0121. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Air Force.

of morphisms. We call maps in  $\mathcal{F}$  *full maps*, maps in  $\mathcal{E}$  *extensions*, and models  $A$  such that  $(0 \rightarrow A) \in \mathcal{E}$  *0-extensions*.

We then obtain a refinement

$$\mathbf{Clan} \simeq \mathbf{ClanAlg}^{\text{op}}$$

of the biequivalence (1), where  $\mathbf{Clan}$  is the 2-category of clans, and  $\mathbf{ClanAlg}$  is the category of *clan algebraic categories*, i.e. locally finitely presentable categories  $\mathfrak{A}$  equipped with a weak factorization system  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  such that

1. the full subcategory  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  on finitely presented 0-extensions is dense,
2.  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is cofibrantly generated by  $\mathcal{E} \cap \text{mor}(\mathbb{C})$ , and
3.  $\mathfrak{A}$  has full and effective quotients of component-wise full equivalence relations.

The proof of this result crucially relies on the *fat small object argument* [MRV14], and the last part of the talk is devoted to discussing two variants of this argument, which simplifies considerably in the case of clans.

## References

- [Car86] J. Cartmell. Generalised algebraic theories and contextual categories. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 32:209–243, 1986.
- [Fre72] P. Freyd. Aspects of topoi. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 7(1):1–76, 1972.
- [GU71] P. Gabriel and F. Ulmer. *Lokal präsentierbare Kategorien*, volume 221 of *Lecture Notes in Math*. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Joy17] A. Joyal. Notes on clans and tribes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10238*, 2017.
- [MRV14] M. Makkai, J. Rosicky, and L. Vokrinek. On a fat small object argument. *Advances in Mathematics*, 254:49–68, 2014.
- [Tay87] P. Taylor. *Recursive domains, indexed category theory and polymorphism*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 1987.