

Čech Cohomology in Homotopy Type Theory

Ingo Blechschmidt¹, Felix Cherubini^{2*}, and David Wärn³

¹ `iblech@speicherleck.de`

² University of Gothenburg

`felix.cherubini@posteo.de`

³ University of Gothenburg

`warnd@chalmers.se`

This is a report on work in progress (incomplete draft: [\[BCW23\]](#)).

In pure mathematics, it is a common practice to simplify questions about complicated objects by assigning them more simple objects in a systematic way, that faithfully represents some features of interest. One particular, but still surprisingly broad applicable instantiation of this approach, is the assignment of a sequence of abelian groups, the cohomology groups, to spaces, sheaves and other things. Over the last century, cohomology was first discovered in concrete examples, then generalized and streamlined – a process that culminated in the presentation of cohomology groups as truncated mapping spaces in higher toposes.¹

This is a representation, we can easily and elementary use through the interpretation of homotopy type theory in higher toposes. In [\[Cav15\]](#) results about cohomology theories like the Mayer–Vietoris sequence were proven and computations were carried out, in [\[van18\]](#), the Serre spectral sequence was constructed and used. The latter also introduced cohomology with non-constant coefficients, which are the right level of generality for the applications we have in mind. We are particularly interested in computing cohomology groups of sheaves in algebraic geometry, which can be done synthetically using the foundation laid out by [\[CCH23\]](#) building on work and ideas of Ingo Blechschmidt [\[Ble17\]](#), Anders Kock [\[Koc06\]](#) and David Jaz Myers [\[Mye19b\]](#), [\[Mye19a\]](#).

In this setup, the basic spaces in algebraic geometry, schemes, are just sets with a particular property [\[CCH23, Definition 4.3.1\]](#), and instead of sheaves of abelian groups on a type X , we consider more generally maps $A : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ to the type of abelian groups. The cohomology groups are then defined as dependent function types with values in Eilenberg–MacLane spaces

$$H^n(X, A) := \|(x : X) \rightarrow K(A(x), n)\|_0,$$

a definition first suggested by Shulman [\[Shu13\]](#). Due to its simplicity, this is very convenient to work with. One common way to compute cohomology groups $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ is to use results about the cohomology of simple subspaces $U_i \subseteq X$. A computational result on the case with two subspaces $U, V \subseteq X$ is known as the *Mayer–Vietoris sequence*. In general this sequence helps to compute the cohomology groups of a pushout and was constructed for cohomology with constant coefficients in a group by Cavallo [\[Cav15\]](#). We generalize this result to non-constant coefficients with a slick proof the second author learned in part from Urs Schreiber in the course of his PhD thesis.

Čech Cohomology, in the sense of this work, is a generalization of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence in the case, where U and V are actually subtypes of a set, to a space X which is the union of finitely many subtypes $U_i \subseteq X$, i.e. $\bigcup_i U_i = X$. From the point of view of *synthetic homotopy theory*, the cohomology groups of sets are not very interesting, but it is fundamental to our intended applications in *synthetic algebraic geometry*, where schemes are sets. In the latter

*Speaker.

¹See, e.g. the introduction of [\[Lur09\]](#).

subject, it was unclear for a long time how one could set up a theory of cohomology, since the classical treatment relies on injective resolutions or flabby resolutions which, depending on the application, require Zorn’s lemma or the law of excluded middle [Ble18].

In [CCH23] this problem is circumvented, by using a constructively justified axiom which internally reflects the topology of the relevant topos as a nonclassical choice principle and, secondly, as mentioned above, by using higher types to define and work with cohomology.

We present two approaches to a proof of a sufficiently general isomorphism between Čech cohomology groups and cohomology groups defined using higher types. The first approach is more conceptual and makes use of the higher types we have available in HoTT. It is also related to how one would produce a Čech Cohomology theorem in higher category theory: the space is represented as a colimit, so mapping into the coefficients should yield a limit description of the (untruncated) cohomology of the whole space.

The second approach very roughly follows the seminal treatments of Grothendieck [Gro57] and Buchsbaum [Buc60], but employs Riehl’s modern Kan extension framework [Rie14] and language from the Stacks project [Sta, Tag 05S7]. Briefly, the idea is first to setup, as a bootstrapping step, Čech cohomology on quasi-compact and separated schemes. A Buchsbaum-style effacement argument then shows that Čech resolutions can be used to construct and compute actual (abstract) cohomology. This approach not only yields the cohomology groups, but also the total right derived functor $\mathbb{R}\Gamma$. In the future, we would like to widen the scope to the étale and fppf topology, employing hypercoverings as in Verdier’s hypercovering theorem.

We aim to show that both cohomology defined using higher types and Čech cohomology satisfies the universal property of a *universal ∂ -functor* in some particular but still quite relevant situations. While this approach seems to work only for particular schemes, it also seems to need far less involved calculations. Furthermore, ∂ -functors could be reusable as a framework for other topics, for example, *Ext*-sheaves and group cohomology.

As a prerequisite to make both approaches to Čech Cohomology be usable for our intended application, we show that some schemes of interest have acyclic covers. More specifically, we show that for some particular class of coefficients, called *weakly quasicohherent* modules, higher cohomology groups always vanish on affine schemes. This result builds on a result for first cohomology groups from [CCH23] and already makes use of higher types and their homotopy theory.

References

- [BCW23] Ingo Blechs Schmidt, Felix Cherubini, and David Wörn. *Čech Cohomology in Homotopy Type Theory*. 2023. URL: <https://www.felix-cherubini.de/check.pdf> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Ble17] Ingo Blechs Schmidt. “Using the internal language of toposes in algebraic geometry”. PhD thesis. 2017. URL: <https://www.ingo-blechs Schmidt.eu/> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Ble18] Ingo Blechs Schmidt. *Flabby and injective objects in toposes*. 2018. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.12708> (cit. on p. 2).
- [Buc60] David A. Buchsbaum. “Satellites and Universal Functors”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* (1960) (cit. on p. 2).
- [Cav15] Evan Cavallo. “Synthetic Cohomology in Homotopy Type Theory”. 2015. URL: <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~rwh/theses/cavallo-msc.pdf> (cit. on p. 1).

- [CCH23] Felix Cherubini, Thierry Coquand, and Matthias Hutzler. *A Foundation for Synthetic Algebraic Geometry*. 2023. URL: <https://www.felix-cherubini.de/iag.pdf> (cit. on pp. 1, 2).
- [Gro57] Alexandre Grothendieck. “Sur quelques points d’algèbre homologique”. In: *Tohoku Math. J.* 9.2 (1957), pp. 119–221. DOI: [10.2748/tmj/1178244839](https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178244839) (cit. on p. 2).
- [Koc06] Anders Kock. *Synthetic Differential Geometry*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 2006. URL: <https://users-math.au.dk/kock/sdg99.pdf> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Mye19a] David Jaz Myers. *Degrees, Dimensions, and Crispness*. 2019. URL: <https://www.felix-cherubini.de/abstracts.html#myers> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Mye19b] David Jaz Myers. *Logical Topology and Axiomatic Cohesion*. 2019. URL: <https://www.felix-cherubini.de/abstracts.html#myers> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Rie14] Emily Riehl. *Categorical homotopy theory*. New Math. Monogr. Cambridge University Press, 2014 (cit. on p. 2).
- [Shu13] Michael Shulman. *Cohomology*. 2013. URL: <https://homotopytypetheory.org/2013/07/24/cohomology/> (cit. on p. 1).
- [Sta] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/> (cit. on p. 2).
- [van18] Floris van Doorn. “On the Formalization of Higher Inductive Types and Synthetic Homotopy Theory”. PhD thesis. Aug. 2018. arXiv: [1808.10690](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.10690) [math.AT] (cit. on p. 1).