Čech Cohomology in Homotopy Type Theory

Ingo Blechschmidt, Felix Cherubini, David Wärn

Part of the synthetic algebraic geometry project:

Synthetic Algebraic Geometry in the Zariski-Topos

Stay updated on synthetic algebraic geometry with the mailing list.

This is a latex documentation of our understanding of the synthetic /internal theory of the Zariski-Topos. There are currently the following parts:

- · Foundations (draft pdf)
- Čech-Cohomology (early draft pdf)
- · Differential Geometry/étale maps (early draft pdf)
- · Proper Schemes (early draft pdf)
- · Topology of Synthetic Schemes (early draft pdf)
- A¹-homotopy theory (early draft pdf)
- · Random Facts, i.e. a collection of everything that still needs to find a good place (almost empty pdf)

There is a related formalization project.



* Schemes = quasi-compact, quasi-separated schemes of finite type

Reminder: The 3 Axioms

Axiom: We have a local, commutative ring R.

For a finitely presented R-algebra A, define:

 $\operatorname{Spec}(A) :\equiv \operatorname{Hom}_{R\operatorname{\mathsf{-algebra}}}(A,R)$

Axiom (synthetic quasi-coherence (SQC)): For any finitely presented *R*-algebra *A*, the map

$$a\mapsto (\varphi\mapsto\varphi(a)):A\xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\operatorname{Spec}(A)}$$

is an equivalence.

Axiom (Zariski-local choice):

For every surjective π , there merely exist local sections s_i

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_i & & & E \\ & & & \downarrow^{\pi} \\ D(f_i) & \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A) \end{array}$$

with $f_1,\ldots,f_n:A$ coprime.

For $A: X \to Ab$, define *cohomology* as:

$$H^n(X,A):\equiv \Big\|\prod_{x:X}K(A_x,n)\Big\|_{\rm set}$$

For $A: X \to Ab$, define *cohomology* as:

$$H^n(X,A):\equiv \Big\|\prod_{x:X}K(A_x,n)\Big\|_{\rm set}$$

Good because:

► ∏-type.

• Homotopy group: $H^n(X, A) = \pi_k(\prod_{x:X} K(A, n+k)).$

 $\|$ $\|_{set}$ is a modality.

For $A: X \to Ab$, define *cohomology* as:

$$H^n(X,A):\equiv \Bigl\|\prod_{x:X}K(A_x,n)\Bigr\|_{\rm set}$$

Good because:

► ∏-type.

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Homotopy group: } H^n(X,A) = \pi_k(\prod_{x:X} K(A,n+k)).$

 $\|$ $\|_{set}$ is a modality.

Non-trivial for X : Set because:

 $X = \mathsf{Pushout} \text{ of sets } U \leftarrow Y \to V,$

Then a "cohomology class" $X \to K(A, 1)$ is given by:

Maps
$$f: U \to K(A, 1)$$
, $g: V \to K(A, 1)$.

And $h: (x:Y) \to f(x) = g(x)$, which is essentially a map $Y \to A$, if U and V don't have higher cohomology...

Mayer-Vietoris Sequence

 $X = U \cup V$ (or some other pushout). Then the following is exact:















 $\begin{array}{l} R[X] \times R[X] \longrightarrow R[X][X^{-1}] \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, R) \\ (P, Q) \mapsto P - Q(X^{-1}) \end{array} = 0$

Vanishing on Affine Schemes

Theorem Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, n > 0 and $M : X \to R\operatorname{-Mod}_{wac'}$ then

 $H^n(X,M) = 0.$

Vanishing on Affine Schemes

Theorem Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, n > 0 and $M : X \to R\operatorname{-Mod}_{wqc}$, then

$$H^n(X,M)=0.$$

Proof.

Uses: Homotopy theory, algebra, Zariski-local choice and properties of wqc-modules.

Applying Cohomology

To (merely) construct functions, one can use exactness of:

$$0 \to \prod_{x:X} M_x \to \prod_{x:X} N_x \to \prod_{x:X} K_x \to H^1(X,M)$$

(which holds if $0 \to M_x \to N_x \to K_x \to 0$ is exact for all x:X)

Applying Cohomology

To (merely) construct functions, one can use exactness of:

$$0 \to \prod_{x:X} M_x \to \prod_{x:X} N_x \to \prod_{x:X} K_x \to H^1(X,M)$$

(which holds if $0 \to M_x \to N_x \to K_x \to 0$ is exact for all x:X)

To show a scheme is affine! This holds if for all $I: X \to R$ - Mod_{wqc} such that I_x is an ideal in R for all x: X, we have $H^1(X, I) = 0$, by a recent theorem of Ingo Blechschmidt and David Wärn.

Čech-Cohomology

Generalization of Mayer-Vietoris for spaces $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$, where U_i and all their k-ary intersections have trivial higher cohomology.

Generalization of Mayer-Vietoris for spaces $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$, where U_i and all their k-ary intersections have trivial higher cohomology. By Vanishing, separated schemes with their open affine cover, are an example.

Čech-Cohomology

Generalization of Mayer-Vietoris for spaces $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$, where U_i and all their k-ary intersections have trivial higher cohomology. By Vanishing, separated schemes with their open affine cover, are an example.

Two proofs:

- By universal property, that both Čech and Eilenberg-MacLane cohomology have.
- \blacktriangleright Roughly, by pointwise turning the colimit $U_1(x) \vee \cdots \vee U_n(x)$ into a limit.

Thank you!