

# Oracle modalities

Andrew W Swan

Carnegie Mellon University

May 23, 2023

# What is an oracle?

## Definition (Turing '36)

A partial function  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is *computable* if it can be computed by a Turing machine (a computer program).

Key idea: We can encode computer programs as natural numbers. We write the partial function encoded by  $e$  as  $\varphi_e$ .

## Theorem (Turing '36)

*There is at least one non computable function.*

Proof.

$$\kappa(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \varphi_n(n) \downarrow = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



## Definition (Turing '39)

An *oracle Turing machine* is a computer program that can query information from an outside source (an *oracle*). We say a partial function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2$  is *computable relative to*  $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2$  if we can compute  $f$  using  $\chi$  as an oracle.

We also say that  $f$  is *Turing reducible to*  $\chi$  and write  $f \leq_T \chi$ .

Note that this defines a preorder on functions  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2$ . We refer to the poset reflection of this preorder as the *Turing degrees*.

## Example

A web browser can send queries (http requests) to a server and receive back information (webpages).

Queries can depend on the result of previous queries. E.g. a webbrowser can request all the images mentioned on a webpage that it just received.

# Computability in topos theory

## Theorem (Hyland '82)

*The Turing degrees embed into the lattice of subtoposes of the effective topos,  $\mathcal{E}ff$ .*

Key ideas:

- ▶ Set is a subtopos of  $\mathcal{E}ff$ . Write  $\nabla$  for the corresponding sheafification monad.
- ▶ For objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}ff$ , every morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  is computable.
- ▶ Applying  $\nabla$  “erases computational information:” we can think of maps  $\nabla X \rightarrow \nabla Y$  as non computable functions  $X \rightarrow Y$ .
- ▶ For a given  $\chi : \nabla X \rightarrow \nabla Y$ , we can consider the largest subtopos of  $\mathcal{E}ff$  containing  $\chi$ . The morphisms in the subtopos are *computable relative to  $\chi$* .

# Modalities

## Definition (Rijke, Shulman, Spitters)

A *uniquely eliminating modality* is an operation on types  $\circlearrowleft : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  together with unit  $\eta_X : X \rightarrow \circlearrowleft X$  for each  $X : \mathcal{U}$  such that the canonical map  $\prod_{z:\circlearrowleft X} \circlearrowleft(P(z)) \rightarrow \prod_{x:X} \circlearrowleft P(\eta_X(x))$  is an equivalence for  $X : \mathcal{U}$  and  $P : \circlearrowleft X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \sum_{z:\circlearrowleft X} Pz \\ \downarrow \eta_X & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \circlearrowleft X & \longrightarrow & \circlearrowleft X \end{array}$$

A type  $X$  is

- ▶  $\circlearrowleft$ -*modal* if  $\eta_X : X \rightarrow \circlearrowleft X$  is an equivalence.
- ▶  $\circlearrowleft$ -*separated* if for all  $x, y : X$ ,  $x = y$  is  $\circlearrowleft$ -modal.
- ▶  $\circlearrowleft$ -*connected* if  $\circlearrowleft X$  is contractible.

## Definition

Let  $a : A \vdash B(a)$  be a family of types. A type  $X$  is *B-null* if for all  $a : A$  the canonical map  $X \rightarrow X^{B(a)}$  is an equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(a) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{!} & \\ 1 & & \end{array}$$

## Theorem (Rijke, Shulman, Spitters)

*There is a modality  $\circlearrowleft_B$ , defined as a higher inductive type, such that a type is  $\circlearrowleft_B$ -modal precisely if it is B-null.*

# Cubical Assemblies

Carrying out the construction of cubical sets internally in the category of assemblies, we can get a realizability model of HoTT:

## Theorem (Uemura)

*The category of cubical assemblies consists of cubical sets constructed internally in the lcc of assemblies. Cubical assemblies form a model of cubical type theory and thereby HoTT.*

## Theorem (S, Uemura)

*Cubical assemblies have a reflective subuniverse that satisfies Church's thesis "all functions are computable."*

# Cubical Assemblies

Carrying out the construction of cubical sets internally in the category of assemblies, we can get a realizability model of HoTT:

## Theorem (Uemura)

*The category of cubical assemblies consists of cubical sets constructed internally in the lcc of assemblies. Cubical assemblies form a model of cubical type theory and thereby HoTT.*

## Theorem (S, Uemura)

*Cubical assemblies have a reflective subuniverse that satisfies Church's thesis "all functions are computable."*

We can use Church's thesis to give an example of a map  $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \nabla 2$  that does not extend to a map  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2$ : the characteristic function of the halting set.



# $\neg\neg$ -sheafification

## Definition

Write  $\nabla$  for  $\neg\neg$ -sheafification, i.e. nullification of all propositions  $P$  such that  $\neg\neg P$  is true.

In general the existence of  $\nabla$  in cubical assemblies is problematic due to size issues. However, for many purposes we can use the 0-truncated version.

## Theorem (S)

$\nabla_0$ , the modality reflecting onto 0-truncated  $\neg\neg$ -sheaves, exists in cubical assemblies. Moreover, we can describe it explicitly:  $\nabla_0 X$  is the discrete, uniform cubical assembly on the connected components of  $X$ .

# Oracle modalities

## Definition

For oracles  $\bigcirc$  and  $\bigcirc'$  we say  $\bigcirc$  is *Turing reducible* to  $\bigcirc'$  and write  $\bigcirc \leq_{\mathcal{T}} \bigcirc'$  if every  $\bigcirc$ -connected type is  $\bigcirc'$ -connected.

## Definition

Given  $\chi : A \rightarrow \nabla B$  the associated *oracle modality*,  $\bigcirc_{\chi}$  is the nullification of  $a : A \vdash \chi(a) \downarrow$ .

Intuition:

- ▶ Any function in cubical sets appears as a map  $\chi : A \rightarrow \nabla B$  in cubical assemblies.
- ▶  $\bigcirc$  is the smallest modality forcing  $\chi$  to be a total function.

Since  $\bigcirc_{\chi}$  is a special case of nullification, we can view it as a HIT. The point constructors are the same as I/O monads (free monads on polynomial endofunctors), but it also has path constructors:

- ▶ For  $x : X$  we have  $\eta(x) : \bigcirc_{\chi} X$ . “Everything computable without the oracle is still computable with it.”
- ▶ Given  $a : A$  and  $f : \chi(a)\downarrow \rightarrow \bigcirc_{\chi} X$ , then  $\text{sup}(a, f) : \bigcirc_{\chi} X$ . “If we can compute an element of  $\bigcirc_{\chi} X$  by querying the oracle at  $a$ , then it is oracle computable.”

Since  $\bigcirc_X$  is a special case of nullification, we can view it as a HIT. The point constructors are the same as I/O monads (free monads on polynomial endofunctors), but it also has path constructors:

- ▶ For  $x : X$  we have  $\eta(x) : \bigcirc_X X$ . “Everything computable without the oracle is still computable with it.”
- ▶ Given  $a : A$  and  $f : \chi(a)\downarrow \rightarrow \bigcirc_X X$ , then  $\text{sup}(a, f) : \bigcirc_X X$ . “If we can compute an element of  $\bigcirc_X X$  by querying the oracle at  $a$ , then it is oracle computable.”
- ▶ If  $z : \chi(a)\downarrow$  then  $\text{sup}(a, f) = f(z)$ . “Only the final value of the computation matters: computing the same thing with the oracle and without the oracle are propositionally equal.”

Since  $\bigcirc_{\chi}$  is a special case of nullification, we can view it as a HIT. The point constructors are the same as I/O monads (free monads on polynomial endofunctors), but it also has path constructors:

- ▶ For  $x : X$  we have  $\eta(x) : \bigcirc_{\chi} X$ . “Everything computable without the oracle is still computable with it.”
- ▶ Given  $a : A$  and  $f : \chi(a)\downarrow \rightarrow \bigcirc_{\chi} X$ , then  $\text{sup}(a, f) : \bigcirc_{\chi} X$ . “If we can compute an element of  $\bigcirc_{\chi} X$  by querying the oracle at  $a$ , then it is oracle computable.”
- ▶ If  $z : \chi(a)\downarrow$  then  $\text{sup}(a, f) = f(z)$ . “Only the final value of the computation matters: computing the same thing with the oracle and without the oracle are propositionally equal.”
- ▶ The above two constructors also apply to paths. “If we can compute a path using an oracle query to  $a$ , then the path belongs to  $\bigcirc_{\chi}$ .”

# Dimension shift

Theorem (Christensen, Opie, Rijke, Scoccola)

*For any modality  $\bigcirc$  there is a modality  $\bigcirc^=$  such that a type  $X$  is  $\bigcirc^=$ -modal iff it is  $\bigcirc$ -separated. For nullification of  $a : A \vdash B(a)$  we can describe it explicitly as nullification of the pointwise suspension  $a : A \vdash \Sigma B(a)$ .*

# Dimension shift

## Theorem (Christensen, Opie, Rijke, Scoccola)

*For any modality  $\bigcirc$  there is a modality  $\bigcirc^=$  such that a type  $X$  is  $\bigcirc^=-$ modal iff it is  $\bigcirc$ -separated. For nullification of  $a : A \vdash B(a)$  we can describe it explicitly as nullification of the pointwise suspension  $a : A \vdash \Sigma B(a)$ .*

Intuition: We can use an oracle  $\chi$  to construct paths in  $\bigcirc_{\chi}^= X$  but not points. More formally,

## Observation

*Any  $\neg\neg$ -separated set is  $\bigcirc_{\chi}^=-$ separated.*

Any map  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcirc_{\chi}^= \mathbb{N}$  is computable, but e.g. there can be a map  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcirc_{\chi}^= \mathbb{S}^1$  equal to `loop` if  $\varphi_e e \downarrow$  and otherwise `refl`. In fact,

$$\pi_1(\bigcirc_{\chi}^= \mathbb{S}^1) = \bigcirc_{\chi} \mathbb{Z} \neq \bigcirc_{\chi}^= \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$$

## Observation

Suppose that  $\mathbb{O}_\beta \leq_T \mathbb{O}_\alpha^-$ . Then  $\beta$  is computable.

## Proof.

The generators of  $\mathbb{O}_\beta$  are  $-1$ -truncated. Hence they are  $\mathbb{O}_\alpha^-$ -modal. By the assumption  $\mathbb{O}_\beta \leq_T \mathbb{O}_\alpha^-$  they are also  $\mathbb{O}_\alpha$ -connected. Hence they are contractible, which can only happen when  $\beta$  is already computable. □

Q: What happens in higher dimensions, when we can no longer assume the generators are  $n$ -truncated?



- ▶ The Turing degrees and the homotopy groups of spheres are both well studied objects with rich mathematical structure.
- ▶ There are very simple examples of modalities in cubical assemblies that inherit characteristics from both structures.
- ▶ We leave it for future work to find more interesting examples of interaction between computability theory and homotopy theory.

Some related results have been formalised in cubical Agda  
<https://github.com/awswan/oraclemodality>.

Thanks for your attention!